1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9	CARL McCEE FOUNTAIN,
10	Petitioner, No. CIV S-04-2350 GEB DAD P
11	VS.
12	JAMES YATES, Warden,
13	Respondent. <u>ORDER</u>
4	/
15	Petitioner has requested the appointment of counsel. There currently exists no
16	absolute right to appointment of counsel in habeas proceedings. See Nevius v. Sumner, 105 F.30
17	453, 460 (9th Cir. 1996). However, 18 U.S.C. § 3006A authorizes the appointment of counsel at
18	any stage of the case "if the interests of justice so require." See Rule 8(c), Fed. R. Governing
19	§ 2254 Cases. In the present case, the court does not find that the interests of justice would be
20	served by the appointment of counsel at the present time.
21	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that petitioner's June 30, 2006 motion
22	for appointment of counsel is denied without prejudice to a renewal of the motion at a later stage
23	of the proceedings.
24	DATED: July 19, 2006.
25	7007
26	DAD:bb four2350.110 DALE A. DROZD

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE